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#### Abstract

The main aim of the research being conducted is to automatically derive multiple representation database through model generalisation. In this regard, this paper presents a detailed methodology of the derivation process of multiple representation database. The topographic information of three different levels of detail (LoDs) viz., LoD1 $(1: 10,000), \operatorname{LoD} 2(1: 25,000)$ and $\operatorname{LoD} 3(1: 250,000)$ are considered for this research. The abstract LoDs, i.e., LoD2 and LoD3 are derived automatically by model generalisation. The method for linking corresponding features in all these LoDs is shown and thus deriving multiple representation database. The major component of the proposed methodology is the model generalisation process. The design of the model generalisation process which includes generalisation operators, classification hierarchies, geometric constraints, functional hierarchies and topological constraints are discussed in this article. The proposed methodology is currently in the implementation stage.
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## 1. Introduction

The change that may occur in geometry and topology of a feature when the level of detail (LoD) of its representation changes is termed as "multiple representations" (Buttenfield, 1993). The Multiple Representation Database (MRDB) is a database structure in which two or more representations of a same feature are stored individually at different LoDs and they are connected (Kilpeläinen, 1997).
The research problem on multiple representations was introduced by National Centre for Geographic Information and Analysis (NCGIA) (Buttenfield, 1993). Thereafter, many researchers have attempted this problem. Kilpeläinen (1997) proposed a two-fold model based on model \& cartographic generalisation and described its application in the context of multiple representation. The model for MRDB system was also presented and it was applied to topographic data. Chaudhry (2007) used a model generalisation approach to derive the geographic phenomena at $1: 250,000$ through aggregation process. This work involved the modelling of the geographic phenomena at multiple levels of detail.
The benefits of MRDB are non-redundant data, possibility to automatically check the consistency and error, flexible maintenance, etc. (Sarjakoski, 2007). These advantages of MRDB form the motivation for this research problem.

The remaining part of this paper is organised as follows. Section 2 describes the structure of MRDB. The methodology to derive MRDB is explained in section 3. Lastly, conclusions and future work are provided in section 4.

## 2. Structure of MRDB

The Figure 1 demonstrates the structure of the target MRDB. The plan is to use ladder approach to derive LoD2 $(1: 25,000)$ from LoD1 $(1: 10,000)$ and LoD3 (1:250,000) from LoD2 through model generalisation process. The strategy and the results of model generalisation process helps in maintaining the bidirectional links between LoDs as shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Multiple Representation Database (Boodala et al., 2018)

| LoD1 (1:10K) |  | LoD2 (1:25K) |  | LoD3 (1:250K) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From | Product | From | Product | From | Product |
| OS | OS Open Map - <br> Local (OML) | OS | OS VectorMap District <br> (VMD) | OS | Strategi |
| OS | Boundary-Line <br> (BL) | OS | OS Open Roads (ORoads) | EG | EuroRegionalMap (ERM) |
|  | ESDIN | ExM Large Scale (ExM-L) | ESDIN | ExM Small or Medium Scale <br> (ExM-SM) |  |

Table 1. Data products

## 3. Methodology for MRDB Derivation

### 3.1 Data products used in modelling phase

To design the MRDB structure at theme and feature level, the data products and their specifications listed in the Table 1 are used. These data products and specifications are obtained from organisations like Ordnance Survey (OS), EuroGeographics (EG) and also from European Spatial Data Infrastructure Network (ESDIN) project.

### 3.2 Data models matching process

The derivation of MRDB starts from the source database, i.e., LoD1. The OS Open Map - Local (OML) and Boundary-Line (BL) data products are used in the source database. The feature types and their geometries in the source database are shown in Table 2. (Ordnance Survey, 2016a, 2016b)
The feature types and their geometries which are supposed to be derived from LoD1 to populate target database, i.e., LoD2, are modelled using OS VectorMap District (VMD), OS Open Roads (ORoads) and ExM Large Scale (ExM-L). (ESDIN, 2010a; Ordnance Survey, 2016c, 2016d)
Similarly, for LoD3, Strategi, EuroRegionalMap (ERM) and ExM Small or Medium Scale (ExM-SM) are used. (BKG-Germany, 2017; ESDIN, 2010b; Ordnance Survey, 2015)

The Table 2 presents the one to one mapping of the feature types at different LoDs. This mapping is done using the definitions of feature types and their attribute information. This gives an idea of how to represent a feature at different LoDs and how to model their relationships. The feature type such as "Urban or BuiltupArea" in "Settlements" theme appears only at LoD3. This feature type can be modelled using "Building" feature type at LoD1 and LoD2. Thus, modelling the functional hierarchy.

### 3.3 Generalisation operators and their order of applicability

The model generalisation operators are essential to transform the database from LoD1 to other abstract levels (LoD2 and LoD3). The open data products (shown in Table 1) of different scales from OS are studied. This study helped in choosing the required model generalisation operators and also to decide their order of applicability. Table 3 is the outcome of this procedure
and it will be refined after experiments by incorporating guidelines from ESDIN and/or EG.
The Add (C+), Eliminate (C-), Reclassify (Cc), Aggregate (Gg), Collapse (Gc), Merge (Gm) and Simplify (Gs) (Roth et al., 2011) operators are used in this research. The definitions and notations of these operators given by Roth et al. (2011) are followed.
The Table 3 illustrates the generalisation process. To derive the 'Building' at LoD2, Cc followed by Gm operators are applied. This in turn is followed by Gc and Cc operators to derive LoD3. Similarly, it is shown for all the feature types in the source database (LoD1). The C+ operator is used to indicate the selection of feature types to LoD1.
The attribute information of the feature types is available in the form of codelists. Some codelists are hierarchical in nature and they can be used to drive the generalisation process. These codelists are used to form the classification hierarchies. This attribute information is used by C+, C- and Cc operators. However, Gg, Gc, Gm and Gs operators use geometry information of the feature types.
The Figure 2 shows advantages of linking hierarchical codelists from different data models. In this example, the codelists from Infrastructure for Spatial Information in Europe (INSPIRE), ESDIN, EG, OS and European Location Framework (ELF) are used (BKG-Germany, 2017; ELF, 2016; ESDIN, 2010b; INSPIRE, 2013; Ordnance Survey, 2016b). The abstract level concept like 'Commerce and services' can be derived from the 'Secondary education' which is not possible by only using OS classification scheme. In the same way, other classification hierarchies can be linked.

### 3.4 Geometric and topological constraints

The geometric constraints which are essential to drive the generalisation are shown in Table 4. This information is organised according to LoDs and the sources of these values are also tabulated.
Kilpeläinen (1997) stated that "Topology does not change from scale to scale. An exception occurs only when the objects are omitted in context with scale transformation". In order to derive the consistent data at all LoDs, the topological relationships between the features at each LoD should be maintained. The topological constraints are defined at the feature level using ERM product specification (BKG-Germany, 2017) and it is shown in Table 5.

| LoD1 (1:10K) |  |  | LoD2 (1:25K) |  |  | LoD3 (1:250K) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Product | FeatureType | Geometry | Product | FeatureType | Geometry | Product | FeatureType | Geometry |
| Buildings and Structures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OML | Building | GM_Surface | VMD | Building | GM_Surface | ERM <br> ExM-SM | Building <br> Building | GM_Point GM_Point |
| OML | Glasshouse | GM_Surface | VMD | Glasshouse | GM_Surface |  |  |  |
| OML | ElectricityTransmissionLine | GM_Curve | VMD | ElectricityTransmissionLine | GM_Curve | ERM <br> ExM-SM | PowerTransmissionLine PowerLine | GM_Curve GM_Curve |
| Communications - Rail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OML | RailwayTunnel | GM_Curve | VMD | RailwayTunnel | GM_Curve | Strategi | Railway | GM_Curve |
| OML | RailwayTrack | GM_Curve | VMD ExM-L | RailwayTrack RailwayLink | GM_Curve GM_Curve | Strategi <br> ERM <br> ExM-SM | Railway <br> Railway <br> RailwayLink | GM_Curve <br> GM_Curve <br> GM_Curve |
| OML | RailwayStation | GM_Point | VMD ExM-L | RailwayStation <br> RailwayStationNode | GM_Point <br> GM_Point | Strategi <br> ERM <br> ExM-SM | Railway Point <br> RailwayStation <br> RailwayStationNode | GM_Point <br> GM_Point <br> GM_Point |
| Communications - Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OML | Road | GM_Curve | VMD <br> ORoads <br> ExM-L | Road <br> RoadLink <br> RoadLink | GM_Curve <br> GM_Curve <br> GM_Curve | Strategi <br> ERM <br> ExM-SM | A Road; B Road; Minor Road; Motorway; Primary Route. <br> Road <br> RoadLink | GM_Curve <br> GM_Curve <br> GM_Curve |
| OML | RoadTunnel | GM_Curve | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VMD } \\ & \text { ORoads } \end{aligned}$ | RoadTunnel <br> RoadLink | GM_Curve GM_Curve |  |  |  |
| OML | MotorwayJunction | GM_Point | VMD <br> ORoads <br> ExM-L | MotorwayJunction <br> MotorwayJunction <br> RoadNode | GM_Point <br> GM_Point <br> GM_Point | Strategi <br> ERM <br> ExM-SM | Roads <br> RoadIntersection <br> RoadNode | GM_Point <br> GM_Point <br> GM_Point |
| OML | Roundabout | GM_Point | VMD <br> ORoads <br> ExM-L | Roundabout <br> RoadNode <br> RoadNode | GM_Point <br> GM_Point <br> GM_Point | Strategi <br> ExM-SM | Roads <br> RoadNode | GM_Point <br> GM_Point |
|  |  |  | ORoads <br> ExM-L | Road <br> RoadLinkSequence; Road; ERoad; RoadName. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \end{aligned}$ | ExM-SM | Road; ERoad | NA |
| Land Cover |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OML | Woodland | GM_Surface | VMD | Woodland | GM_Surface | Strategi <br> ERM <br> ExM-SM | Woodland <br> Woods; Forest. <br> WoodForest | GM_Surface <br> GM_Surface <br> GM_Surface |
|  |  |  | VMD | Ornament | GM_Surface | ERM <br> ExM-SM | GroundSurfaceElement SoilSurfaceRegion | GM_Surface GM_Surface |
| Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| OML | FunctionalSite | GM_MultiSurface | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { VMD } \\ & \text { ExM-L } \end{aligned}$ | FunctionalSite <br> ApronArea; AerodromeArea | GM_Point <br> GM_Surface | ERM <br> ExM-SM | Airport; Airfield. AerodromeArea | GM_Surface GM_Surface |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OML | ImportantBuilding | GM_Surface |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VMD | HeritageSite | GM_Point |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategi | Land Use Seed | GM_Point |
| Settlements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Strategi } \\ & \text { ERM } \end{aligned}$ | Settlement Seed <br> Built-upArea | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GM_Point } \\ & \text { GM_Point } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Strategi } \\ & \text { ERM } \\ & \text { ExM-SM } \end{aligned}$ | Urban <br> Built-upArea <br> BuiltupArea | GM_Surface GM_Surface GM_MultiSurface |
| Named Places |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OML | NamedPlace | GM_Point | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VMD } \\ & \text { ExM-L } \end{aligned}$ | NamedPlace <br> NamedPlace | GM_Point <br> GM_Object | Strategi ERM ExM-SM | Cartographic text <br> PopulatedPlace; NamedLocation. <br> PopulatedPlace | GM_Point GM_Point GM_Point |
| Administrative Boundary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BL | BoundaryLine <br> (collection of all boundaries) | GM_Surface | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VMD } \\ & \text { ExM-L } \end{aligned}$ | AdministrativeBoundary AdministrativeBoundary | GM_Curve GM_Curve | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Strategi } \\ & \text { ERM } \\ & \text { ExM-SM } \end{aligned}$ | Administrative Boundary AdministrativeBoundary AdministrativeBoundary | GM_Curve <br> GM_Curve <br> GM_Curve |
| Table 2. Data models matching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source Database FeatureType |  |  |  |  | Generalisation order $\longrightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| LoD1 (1:10K) |  |  |  |  | LoD2 (1:25K) |  |  | 50K) |
| Building (GM_Surface), C+ |  |  |  |  | Cc , Gm |  | Gc, Cc |  |
| Glasshouse (GM_Surface), C+ |  |  |  |  | C- |  |  |  |
| ElectricityTransmissionLine (GM_Curve), C+ |  |  |  |  | Cc |  |  |  |
| Road (GM_Curve), C+ |  |  |  |  | Cc |  | Cc, Gs |  |
| RoadTunnel (GM_Curve), C+ |  |  |  |  | Cc |  | C- |  |
| Roundabout (GM_Point), C+ |  |  |  |  | Cc |  | Cc |  |
| RailwayTunnel (GM_Curve), C+ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cc, Gm, Gs |  |
| RailwayTrack (GM_Curve), C+ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cc, Gm, Gs |  |
| RailwayStation (GM_Point), C+ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cc |  |
| FunctionalSite (GM_MultiSurface), C+ |  |  |  |  | Gc, Cc |  | C- |  |
| Woodland (GM_Surface), C+ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cc, Gm, Gs |  |
| AdministrativeBoundary (GM_Curve), C+ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cc, Gs |  |


| FeatureType(s) | Level of Detail |
| :---: | :---: |
| Buildings, Administrative boundaries, Woodlands | LoD3 (1:250K) <br> - Area $>60,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}=$ feature is represented as a polygon. (ERM) <br> - Area $>40,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}=$ feature is represented as a polygon feature. (ExM-SM) |
| Glasshouses | ```LoD1 (1:10K) - Area > 5000 m2. (OML) LoD2 (1:25K) - Area > 5000 m2. (VMD)``` |
| Electricity transmission lines, Railways, Coastlines | LoD3 (1:250K) <br> - Length of edge between two connected points $\geq 50 \mathrm{~m}$. (ERM \& ExM-SM) <br> - Weed \& Fuzzy tolerance $=5 \mathrm{~m} .($ ERM $)$ <br> - Weed \& Fuzzy tolerance > 5m. (ExM-SM) |
| Roads | LoD1 (1:10K) <br> - If dual carriageway is closer than 32.5 m then it is collapsed to a single centreline. (OML) <br> - Dead-end < 36m = Remove them. (OML) <br> - Weed \& Fuzzy tolerance $=4 \mathrm{~m} .($ OML $)$ <br> LoD2 (1:25K) <br> - If dual carriageway is closer than 32.5 m then it is collapsed to a single centreline. (VMD) <br> - Dead-end < 36m = Remove them. (VMD) <br> - Weed \& Fuzzy tolerance $=4 \mathrm{~m}$. $($ VMD $)$ <br> LoD3 (1:250K) <br> - Length of edge between two connected points $\geq 50 \mathrm{~m}$. (ERM \& ExM-SM) <br> - Weed \& Fuzzy tolerance $=5 \mathrm{~m} .(\mathrm{ERM})$ <br> - Weed \& Fuzzy tolerance > 5m. (ExM-SM) |
| Roundabouts | ```LoD1 (1:10K) - Area < 450 m}\mp@subsup{\textrm{m}}{}{2}=\mathrm{ roundabout is represented as point feature and extend the roads to meet it. (OML) LoD2 (1:25K) - Area < 450 m}\mp@subsup{\textrm{m}}{}{2}=\mathrm{ roundabout is represented as point feature and extend the roads to meet it. (VMD)``` |
| Settlements | LoD3 (1:250K) <br> - Urban area $\geq 1$ sq.km. $=$ large urban area. $($ Strategi $)$ <br> - Urban area < 1 sq.km. $=$ small urban area. (Strategi) <br> - Open areas within urban area $<0.5 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{km} .=$ remove those open areas. (Strategi) <br> - Area $>60,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}=$ settlement is represented as a polygon feature. (ERM) <br> - Area $>40,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}=$ settlement is represented as a polygon feature. (ExM-SM) <br> - Area $\geq 10,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}=$ settlements is represented as a polygon feature. (Chaudhry, 2007) |


| FeatureType | Topological Relationship | Related FeatureType | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buildings (GM_Point) | Must not overlap with | Buildings (GM_Point) | Buildings must not overlap between them. |
|  | Must not be covered by | Settlements (GM_Point) | Buildings must not be covered by settlements as nodes. |
|  | Must not be covered by | NamedPlace (GM_Point) | Buildings must not be covered by named location node. |
|  | Must not be covered by | RailwayTrack (GM_Curve) | Buildings must not be covered by railway lines. |
|  | Must not be covered by | Road (GM_Curve) | Buildings must not be covered by road lines. |
| ElectricityTransmissionLine (GM_Curve) | Must not intersect or touch interior |  | Electricity transmission lines can only touch at their ends and must not overlap each other. |
| Road (GM_Curve) | Must not intersect or touch interior |  | Road lines can only touch at their ends and must not overlap each other. |
|  | Must not overlap with | RailwayTrack (GM_Curve) | Road lines must not overlap with railway lines. |
| RailwayTrack (GM_Curve) | Must not intersect or touch interior |  | Railway lines can only touch at their ends and must not overlap each other. |
|  | Must not overlap with | Road (GM_Curve) | Railway lines must not overlap with road lines. |
| Settlements (GM_Surface) | Must not overlap with |  | Settlements as area feature must not overlap between them. |
|  | Must not overlap with | Woodland (GM_Surface) | Settlements as area must not have overlap with vegetation area features. |
| Settlements (GM_Point) | Must not overlap with |  | Settlements as nodes must not overlap between them. |
|  | Must not be covered by | Buildings (GM_Point) | Settlements as nodes must not be covered by buildings. |
|  | Must not overlap with | Settlements (GM_Surface) | Settlements as node feature must not overlap settlements as area feature. |
| Woodland (GM_Surface) | Must not overlap with | Woodland (GM_Surface) | Vegetation areas as polygons must not overlap with themselves. |
|  | Must not overlap with | Settlements (GM_Surface) | Vegetation area features must not be overlap with settlements as area features. |
|  | Must not be covered by | Settlements (GM_Surface) | Vegetation area features must not be covered by settlements as area features. |
| AdministrativeBoundary (GM_Curve) | Must not intersect or touch interior |  | Administrative boundaries can only touch at their ends and must not overlap each other. |
|  | Must not have isolated start node and/or end node |  | Administrative boundaries lines must touch one other administrative boundary line and cannot be isolated. |
|  | Must not have pseudo-nodes |  | The end of a line cannot touch the end of ONLY one other line but several. |
| NamedPlace (GM_Point) | Must be inside | Settlements (GM_Surface) | Named location node feature must be inside a settlements as area feature. |
|  | Must not be covered by | Buildings (GM_Point) | Named location node feature must not be covered by buildings. |
|  | Must not be covered by | RailwayTrack (GM_Curve) | Named location node feature must not be covered by railway lines. |
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### 3.5 Selection of generalisation algorithms

The model generalisation operators used in this research are implemented using the suitable generalisation algorithms. These algorithms for different feature types and contexts are chosen from the recommendations given in Automated Generalisation New Technology (AGENT) project reports (AGENT, 1999a, 1999b).

### 3.6 Implementation and evaluation

The research is currently in the implementation stage. The Python programming language is used to implement the proposed methodology and PostgreSQL with PostGIS extension is used as a backend database.
The OS OpenData products and their specifications are used to design the methodology. The results of the implementation will be tested against the same data products as well as the different products like OS Open Zoom Stack. The methodology will also be compared with the products of Survey of India (SoI).

## 4. Conclusions

This paper proposed the methodology to derive the MRDB. In line with that, the data modelling aspects for different LoDs, generalisation operators and their sequence, geometric and topological constraints are presented in this paper. This information is used to realise the prototype of the proposed concept. Eventually, the findings of this research will be transferred to derive MRDB for Indian scenario.
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